

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,583	09/09/2003	James Robert Champion	FOM-139.02	FOM-139.02 3393	
25181	7590 09/12/2005		EXAMINER		
FOLEY HOAG, LLP			NATALINI, JEFF WILLIAM		
	PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BLVD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, M			2858	-	

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/658,583	CHAMPION ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeff Natalini	2858				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 31 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the followances the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notes (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compaction following time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states of the shortened states of the shortened states of the calculated. Any reply received by the Office later than three month the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:		•	,			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 7-31.		•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12 M Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s) 8/15/05				

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that one skilled in the art will not be motivated to combine a TDR apparatus with an aparatus measuring changes in resonated energy, and while this may be part of what both apparatus' perform, their main purposes is to sense fluid. When combining references with a 103, the two apparatus' are not being combined in the manner as suggested by the applicant, but Macke, Sr. et al. is simply taking a suggestion from a teaching of Blaine of having a transmitter in a fluid sensor that drives the first electromagnetic signal along the first conductive element without also driving the second conductive element in order to have have an accurate fluid sensor with increased sensitivity as described in the office action. Since both apparatus' are fluid sensors one could use a teaching from one sensor to provide improvements in the other sensor (as described in the office action of 6/28/05), therefore the applicants claim that the references are unrelated/incompatible is not convincing.

ANJAN DEB

2